KEY FACTORS FOR CONSIDERATION

- * ASSESSMENT v TESTING
- * PROCESS v SERIES OF EVENTS
- * CLASS TEACHER v A. N. OTHER
- * INTERVIEW v S-S INTERACTION
- * SINGLE v MULTIPLE FORMAT
- * PREPARED v UNPREPARED
- * (SEMI-)SCRIPTED v UNSCRIPTED
- * LIVE v RECORDED
- * 1:1 v 1:2 v 2:1 v 2:2 v GROUP
- * INFORMATION GAP v CONSENSUS GAP
- * NATIVE v NON-NATIVE INTERLOCUTOR(S)
- * MONOLOGUE v DIALOGUE
- * KNOWN v UNKNOWN PARTNER CANDIDATES

ASSESSING/TESTING ORAL INTERACTION

HOW CAN/SHOULD WE SCORE/ MARK/ ASSESS/ GRADE?

GLOBAL/IMPRESSION v DETAILED DESCRIPTORS

NORM-REFERENCED v CRITERION-REFERENCED

SINGLE SCORE V MULTIPLE SCORING V PROFILING

SPOKEN PERFORMANCE V ORAL INTERACTION

1 MARKER v MORE THAN 1 MARKER

WORDS v FIGURES

SHORT V LONG MARK SCALES

MARKS/SCORES v BAND-SCALES (E.G. ESU)

CAN-DO STATEMENTS

SELF ASSESSMENT + NEGOTIATED ASSESSMENT

AT THE TIME V LATER

PASS/FAIL v GRADES

NEGATIVE v ADDITIVE

HOW DO WE GET SUITABLE SAMPLES OF ORAL PERFORMANCE?

WHAT ASSESSMENT MODES/TEST-TYPES/ FORMATS CAN WE USE?

- REPETITION
- REFORMULATION
- CORRECTION
- REPORT
- SUMMARY
- DETAILED MESSAGE
- READING ALOUD
- INTERPRETING
- QUESTION AND ANSWER

- PREPARED TOPIC
- PICTURE DESCRIPTION/COMPOSITION
- STORY COMPLETION
- ROLE PLAY
- ASSESSED INTERACTION IN PAIRS AND/ OR GROUPS
- FORMAL QUESTIONNAIRE AND INTERVIEW
- DISCUSSION

WHAT TASKS - WHAT TOPICS - WHAT FUNCTIONS?

- . DIALOGUE DISCUSSION CONVERSATION
- DEBATE v TASK ACHIEVEMENT (COLLABORATIVE)
- FACTUAL v ATTITUDINAL
- NARRATIVE v DESCRIPTIVE
- · CLOSED v OPEN
- . PRO-ACTIVE v REACTIVE v INTERACTIVE
- . DOMINANCE v MAINTENANCE
- WHO SETS THE AGENDA?
- . WHO CONTROLS THE STAGING?
- WHAT TASKS/ TOPICS/ TEXT-TYPES/ FUNCTIONS FOR WHAT LEVEL?
- VARIETY OF FOCUS v ADEQUATE SAMPLE SIZE

Completing the tasks set at different levels in an appropriate way will involve candidates in a range of language **functions**.

At all levels:

Expressing: requirements, opinions, comment, attitude,

confirmation, apology, want/need, information,

complaints, reasons, justifications

Directing: instructing, persuading, advising

Describing: actions, events, objects, people, processes

Eliciting: information, directions, clarification, help,

permission

Narrating: sequence of events

Reporting: description, comment, decisions

At all levels candidates will be expected to take part in <u>dialogue</u> with a native-speaker and a non-native speaker, and in <u>multi-participant interaction</u> in a group containing a native-speaker and a non-native speaker.

The native-speakers may be known or not known to the candidates. The interactions with a non-native speaker will normally be with a candidate of a similar level; this person will normally be someone known to the candidate

Testing and assessing spoken language ability- a bibliography

| | 1 | • |
|--|--|--|
| Berry, V. (1997) | Ethical considerations when Assessing oral proficiency in pairs Current developments and Alternatives in Language Assessmen | in A. Huhta, Kohonen, V. et al Universities of t Tampere & Jyväskylä |
| Bygate, M. (1987) | Speaking | Oxford: OUP |
| Carter, R. and McCarthy, M. | (1995) Exploring Spoken English | Cambridge: CUP |
| Council of Europe (2001) | Common European Framework of Reference for Languages: Learning, teaching, assessment | Cambridge: CUP |
| Douglas, D. (1994) | Quantity and Quality in Speaking | in Language Testing 11,2 |
| Fulcher, G. (1996) | Testing tasks: Issues in Task Design and the Group Oral | in Language Testing 13,1 |
| Luoma, S. (2004) | Assessing Speaking | Cambridge: CUP |
| McNamara, T. (1997) | Interaction in Second Language Performance Assessment | Applied Linguistics 18 |
| Milanovic, M., Saville, N., Pollitt, A. and Cook, A. | Developing rating scales for CASE: theoretical concerns and analyses <i>Validation in LanguageTesting</i> | in A.Cumming & R.Berwick (eds) Multimedia Matters pp. 15-38 |
| North, B.& Schneider, G. | Scaling Descriptors for Language Proficiency Scales | Language Testing 15,2 |
| North, B. (2000) | The Development of a Common Framework Scale of Language Proficiency | New York: Peter Lang |
| O'Malley, J.M. & Valdez Pierce, L. (1996) | Authentic Assessment for English Language Learners | Longman |
| Pollitt, A. & (1996) Murray, N. | What raters really pay attention to Performance Testing, Cognition and Assessment | in M. Milanovic & N. Saville (eds) CUP pp. 74-91 |
| Underhill, N. (1987/2001) | Testing Spoken Language | Cambridge: CUP |
| Young, R.E. & He, A.W. (eds) (1998) | Talking and Testing: Discourse Approaches to the Assessment Of Oral Proficiency | Amsterdam John Benjamins |